

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/737,421	12/16/2003	Wolfgang Burkhardt	AO720B	6313	
75	590 11/25/2005		EXAMINER		
Arthur G. Schaier			PHAN, THANH S		
Carmody & Torrance LLP P.O. Box 1110			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
50 Leavenworth Street			2841		
Waterbury, CT 06721-1110			DATE MAILED: 11/25/200	DATE MAILED: 11/25/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Ar				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	10/737,421	BURKHARDT ET A	L.				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Thanh S. Phan	2841					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 13 October 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods:</li> <li>The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of</li> </ol>	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a potice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl	ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (	ence, which CFR 41.31; or				
b) The period for reply expires <u>5</u> months from the mailing date of this Adv		e final rejection, whichou	rialator In no				
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o . ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI	f the final rejection.					
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened standard in the control of the control of the shortened standard in the control of the control	which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)				
2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com	pliance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be	a filed within two mon	the of the date				
of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))	), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.				
Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must to AMENDMENTS	be filed within the time period set fo	orth in 37 CFR 41.37(	a).				
3. $\boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brio	f will not be entered t	haaayaa				
<ul> <li>(a)  They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in below</li> </ul>	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);					
	appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).							
<ul> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling</li> </ul>							
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	illowable if submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendm	ient canceling				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ w vided below or appended.	rill be entered and an	explanation of				
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-8</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	ut before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affida	Notice of Appeal will <u>r</u> vit or other evidence i	iot be entered is necessary				
<ul> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation</li> </ul>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).				
CAPICITIES	otatao or the orallis after t	only is below or allac	AICU.				

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXCLUSIVE

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2300

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

13. Other: \_\_\_

11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant's newly claimed functionalities of the device necessitated further search and/or reconsideration.